- Figgis, B. N., Raston, C. L., Sharma, R. P. & White, A. H. (1978b). Aust. J. Chem. 31, 2437-2440.
- Pfeiffer, P. & Richarz, J. (1928). Chem. Ber. 61, 103-107.
- Ponzio, G. (1922). Gazetta, 52, 285-288.
- Raston, C. L., Sharma, R. P., Skeleton, B. W. & White, A. H. (1978). Aust. J. Chem. **31**, 745–755.
- Raston, C. L., White, A. H. & Golding, R. M. (1977). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 329–338.
- Romero, M. A., Salas, J. M., Simard, M., Quirós, M. & Beauchamp, A. L. (1990). Polyhedron, 22, 2733–2739.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1987). SHELXTL-Plus. Release 3.4 for Nicolet R3m/V crystallographic system. Nicolet Instrument Corporation, Madison, Wiscosin, USA.
- Stoe & Cie (1992a). DIF4. Diffractometer Control Program. Version 7. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1992b). REDU4. Data Reduction Program. Version 7. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Taylor, T. W. J. & Ewbank, E. K. (1926). J. Chem. Soc. 60, 2818– 2825.
- Venkataraman, K. (1952). The Chemistry of Synthetic Dyes, pp. 401-404. New York: Academic Press.
- Welcher, F. J. (1947). Organic Analytical Reagents, Vol. 3, pp. 237-339. New York: Von Nostrand.
- Wilkinson, G., Gillard, R. D. & McCleverty, J. A. (1987). The Synthesis, Reactions, Properties and Applications of Coordination Compounds, pp. 793–812. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Quirós, M. (1994). PASACIF. Program to Convert SHELXTL Data to CIF Format. Unpublished.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 1878–1880

Allomorphs of a Complex of [Mo(O)₂(dmso)] with a Derivative of SADH

XIN WANG,* XIAO-MIN ZHANG AND HAI-XIN LIU

Instrumental Analysis and Research Centre, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

(Received 21 October 1993; accepted 13 April 1994)

Abstract

In the title complex, $[\mu-2,2'-(4,6-dihydroxy-2,3,7,8-tetraaza-1,3,6,8-nonatetraene-1,9-diyl)diphenolato(4–)-<math>N^2,O,O^4:N^8,O',O^6$]bis[(dimethyl sulfoxide)dioxomolybdenum], [{Mo(O)₂(C₂H₆OS)}₂(μ -C₁₇H₁₂N₄O₄)], (I), a binuclear *cis*-dioxomolybdenum(VI) complex of salicylaldehyde malonyl dihydrazone, the interatomic distances Mo(1)····Mo(2) 7.370 (2) and S(1)····S(2) 5.097 (3) Å are different from those of 6.370 (6) and 9.636 (3) Å, respectively, found in the allomorphic complex (II) [Wang, Zhang & Liu (1993). Submitted to *Polyhedron*]. By comparing some of the bond lengths and torsion angles in (I) and (II) it is shown that the two complexes are allomorphs.

Comment

Molybdenum chemistry has aroused considerable interest in recent years in view of its importance in catalytic, materials and biochemical science (Bustos *et al.*, 1990). Here we report the synthesis and crystal structure of a binuclear molybdenum–Schiff base complex, (I).



The structure of (I), shown in Fig. 1, may be compared with that of the complex (II) (Wang, Zhang & Liu, 1993), which crystallizes in space group P2/c with a = 9.856 (2), b = 7.646 (1), c = 18.373 (2) Å, $\beta = 95.60$ (1)°, V = 1377.95 Å³ and Z = 2. The difference between complexes (I) and (II) is only a difference of conformation. As shown in Fig. 1, complex (I) has a *trans* conformation in which the two Mo atoms lie on different sides of the bridging ligand. Complex (II) has a *cis* conformation, in which the two Mo atoms lie on the same side of the ligand. Some corresponding interatomic distances in (I) and (II) are listed in Table 3.



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I), showing the atomic labelling scheme.

The average length of the four Mo—O terminal bonds, 1.699 (4) Å, and the average of the O—Mo=O bond angles, 104.6 (8)°, in (I) are comparable to other values reported for the MoO₂²⁺ group (Bustos *et al.*, 1990; Zhai, Xu & Wang, 1992). The N(1*a*)—C(2*a*) [1.267 (8) Å], N(1*b*)—C(2*b*) [1.275 (8) Å], N(2*a*)— C(3*a*) [1.275 (7) Å] and N(2*b*—C(3*b*) [1.281 (7) Å] distances indicate that these correspond to double bonds (Bustos *et al.*, 1990). The Mo(1)—O(5*a*) [2.341 (4) Å]

> Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 © 1994

and Mo(2)—O(5b) [2.327 (4) Å] bonds are relatively long, as the coordinated solvent molecule (Me₂SO) is labile.

The angle C(2a)—C(1)—C(2b) in (I) [108.0 (6)°] is greater than the angle C(2)—C(1)—C(2) [106 (2)°] in (II). The other corresponding angles in (I) and (II) are similar. From Table 3, it can be seen that the $Mo(1) \cdots Mo(2)$ distance [7.370 (2) Å] and $O(3a) \cdots O(3b)$ distance [4.306 (7) Å] in (I) are 1.000 and 0.607 Å longer, respectively, than those in complex (II), while both the S(1)...S(2) and C(3a)...C(3b) distances are significantly shorter (by 4.540 and 0.921 Å, respectively). The torsion angles in (I) and (II) are very different. The angles N(2)—N(1)—C(2)—C(1) [180 (1)°], N(1)— N(2) - C(3) - C(4) [180 (1)°] and N(2) - C(3) - C(3)C(4)—C(9) [-179 (1)°] in (II) indicate that each of these groups is planar, while the corresponding angles in complex (I), N(2a)—N(1a)—C(2a)—C(1) [179.7 (6)°] $N(2b) - N(1b) - C(2b) - C(1) [-173.4 (6)^{\circ}], N(1a -$ $N(2a) - C(3a) - C(4a) [178.0 (7)^{\circ}], N(1b) - N(2b) -$ $C(3b) - C(4b) [-173.1 (7)^{\circ}], N(2a) - C(3a) - C(4a) - C(4a)$ C(9a) [-170.7 (8)°] and N(2b)—C(3b)—C(4b)—C(9b)[177.8 (8)°], indicate that, with the exception of the N(2a)—N(1a)—C(2a)—C(1) group, none of these groups are planar. The difference in the density of (I) $(1.856 \text{ Mg m}^{-3})$ and (II) $(1.804 \text{ Mg m}^{-3})$ means that the molecular arrangement in the unit cells of (I) and (II) is different. These differences between (I) and (II) indicate that the two complexes are allomorphs.

Experimental

A solution of MoO₂(acac)₂ (0.5 mmol) was added to a suspension of the SADH derivative (0.5 mmol) at a speed of 1 drop s^{-1} . The reaction mixture was heated under reflux to give a yellow solid. The crude product was recrystallized from DMSO-CH₃OH to give crystals suitable for X-ray analysis. The density D_m was measured by flotation.

Crystal data

$[Mo_2(O)_4(C_{17}H_{12}N_4O_4)-$	Cu $K\alpha$
$(C_2H_6OS)_2$]	$\lambda = 1.5$
$M_r = 748.45$	Cell par
Triclinic	reflec
PĪ	$\theta = 10$ -
a = 8.405 (2) Å	$\mu = 9.8$
b = 12.846 (3) Å	T = 294
c = 13.739 (4) Å	Prism
$\alpha = 114.45 (2)^{\circ}$	0.35 ×
$\theta = 90.53 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Yellow
$\gamma = 83.14 \ (3)^{\circ}$	
$V = 1339 (1) Å^3$	
Z = 2	
$D_x = 1.856 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
$D_m = 1.853 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
Data collection	

radiation 418 Å rameters from 19 ctions -22° 10 mm^{-1} 4 (1) K $0.20 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

$\omega/2\theta$ scans [width (0.6 +	$h = -9 \rightarrow 9$
$0.140 \tan\theta)^{\circ}$]	$k = -14 \rightarrow 14$
Absorption correction:	$l = 0 \rightarrow 15$
empirical	3 standard reflections
$T_{\min} = 0.859, T_{\max} =$	frequency: 90 min
0.998	intensity variation:
4171 measured reflections	4.1%
3783 independent reflections	
3337 observed reflections	
$[F > 3.0\sigma(F)]$	
Refinement	

Refinement on F	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.55 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-3}$
R = 0.043	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.76 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
wR = 0.050	Extinction correction: none
S = 2.16	Atomic scattering factors
3337 reflections	from International Tables
352 parameters	for X-ray Crystallography
Unit weights applied	(1974, Vol. IV, Table
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.15$	2.2B)

Table	1.	Fractional	atomic	coordinates	and	equivalent
		isotropic di	splacem	ent paramete	ers (Å	$\dot{\Lambda}^2$)

$$B_{\rm eq} = (8\pi^2/3)\sum_i\sum_j U_{ij}a_i^*a_i^*\mathbf{a}_i.\mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	z	Beq
Mo(1)	0.70762 (8)	0.05534 (5)	0.77997 (5)	3.09 (1)
Mo(2)	0.70210 (8)	-0.55503 (5)	0.67574 (5)	3.10(1)
S(1)	0.7246 (3)	-0.0691 (2)	0.9542 (2)	3.52 (5)
S(2)	0.9986 (2)	-0.4232 (2)	0.6412 (2)	3.25 (5)
O(1a)	0.6397 (7)	0.1160 (5)	0.6970 (4)	4.3 (1)
O(1b)	0.8294 (7)	-0.6392 (5)	0.5676 (4)	4.5 (2)
O(2a)	0.6077 (8)	0.1389 (5)	0.9003 (5)	5.1 (2)
O(2b)	0.5352 (7)	-0.6201 (5)	0.6518 (5)	4.2 (1)
O(3a)	0.5626 (6)	-0.0701 (4)	0.7368 (4)	3.2 (1)
O(3b)	0.6244 (6)	0.4406 (4)	0.6135 (4)	3.3 (1)
O(4a)	0.9227 (7)	0.0989 (4)	0.7939 (4)	3.8 (1)
O(4b)	0.7818 (7)	-0.5870 (4)	0.7941 (4)	3.9 (1)
O(5a)	0.8246 (6)	-0.0497 (4)	0.8724 (4)	3.6 (1)
O(5b)	0.9029 (6)	-0.4353 (4)	0.7283 (4)	3.4 (1)
N(1a)	0.7434 (8)	-0.1958 (5)	0.6052 (5)	3.3 (2)
N(1 <i>b</i>)	0.5099 (8)	-0.3089 (5)	0.7761 (5)	3.0(1)
N(2a)	0.8316 (7)	-0.1018 (5)	0.6459 (5)	2.9 (1)
N(2b)	0.5850 (7)	-0.3941 (5)	0.8073 (4)	2.8(1)
C(1)	0.493 (1)	-0.2587 (6)	0.6258 (6)	3.3 (2)
C(2a)	0.6093 (9)	-0.1704 (6)	0.6562 (6)	3.0 (2)
C(2b)	0.5437 (9)	-0.3404 (6)	0.6769 (6)	2.9 (2)
C(3a)	0.9634 (9)	-0.1119 (6)	0.5958 (6)	3.1 (2)
C(3b)	0.5740 (9)	-0.3674 (6)	0.9079 (6)	2.9 (2)
C(4a)	1.0658 (9)	-0.0218 (7)	0.6253 (6)	3.3 (2)
C(4b)	0.6570 (9)	-0.4369 (6)	0.9580 (6)	2.9 (2)
C(5a)	1.0374 (9)	0.0815 (6)	0.7189 (6)	3.3 (2)
C(5b)	0.754 (1)	-0.5423 (6)	0.8998 (6)	3.3 (2)
C(6a)	1.139 (1)	0.1666 (7)	0.7375 (7)	4.6 (2)
C(6b)	0.832(1)	-0.6030(7)	0.9555 (7)	4.3 (2)
C(7a)	1.260(1)	0.1518 (8)	0.6659 (8)	4.8 (2)
C(7b)	0.814 (1)	-0.5578 (8)	1.0646 (7)	5.3 (2)
C(8a)	1.290(1)	0.0502 (8)	0.5746 (7)	4.6 (2)
C(8b)	0.718 (1)	-0.4531 (8)	1.1234 (6)	4.8 (2)
C(9a)	1.195 (1)	-0.0362 (7)	0.5552 (6)	4.1 (2)
C(9b)	0.638 (1)	-0.3951 (7)	1.0692 (6)	3.8 (2)
C(10a)	0.750(1)	0.0448 (8)	1.0819 (7)	4.9 (2)
C(10b)	1.086 (1)	-0.2948 (7)	0.7099 (7)	4.4 (2)
C(11a)	0.844 (1)	-0.1810 (7)	0.9750 (7)	4.9 (2)
C(11b)	1.177 (1)	-0.5235(8)	0.6158 (7)	4.4 (2)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Data collection		Mo(1) - O(1a)	1.688 (5)	O(3a) - C(2a)	1.321 (7)
Enrof Nonius CAD 4	$P_{\rm c} = 0.044$	Mo(1) - O(2a)	1.712 (4)	O(3b) - C(2b)	1.323 (8)
Enral-Nonius CAD-4	$\Lambda_{\text{int}} = 0.044$	Mo(1)-O(3a)	2.024 (4)	O(4a) - C(5a)	1.347 (7)
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 60^{\circ}$	Mo(1)— $O(4a)$	1.941 (4)	O(4b)— $C(5b)$	1.333 (7)

$[Mo_2(O)_4(C_{17}H_{12}N_4O_4)(C_2H_6OS)_2]$

Mo(1)—O(5a)	2.341 (4)	N(1a)N(2a)	1.403 (6
Mo(1)— $N(2a)$	2.239 (4)	N(1a) - C(2a)	1.267 (8
Mo(2)O(1b)	1.716 (4)	N(1b) - N(2b)	1.413 (6
Mo(2) - O(2b)	1.680 (4)	N(1b) - C(2b)	1.275 (8
Mo(2)—O(3b)	2.030 (4)	N(2a) - C(3a)	1.275 (7
Mo(2)-O(4b)	1.932 (4)	N(2b) - C(3b)	1.281 (7
Mo(2)-O(5b)	2.327 (4)	C(1)-C(2a)	1.510 (9
Mo(2)N(2b)	2.228 (5)	C(1) - C(2b)	1.507 (9)
O(1a)Mo(1)O(2a)	105.0 (3)	O(2b)Mo(2)O(4b)	100.7 (2)
O(1a)-Mo(1)-O(3a)	97.9 (2)	O(2b)— $Mo(2)$ — $O(5b)$	169.7 (2)
O(1a) - Mo(1) - O(4a)	98.1 (2)	O(2b)Mo(2)N(2b)	94.0 (2)
O(1a)Mo(1)O(5a)	171.3 (2)	O(3b)— $Mo(2)$ — $O(4b)$	150.2 (2)
O(1a) - Mo(1) - N(2a)	93.0 (2)	O(3b)— $Mo(2)$ — $O(5b)$	79.8 (1)
O(2a)-Mo(1)-O(3a)	95.0 (2)	O(3b)—Mo(2)—N(2b)	71.4(1)
O(2a)— $Mo(1)$ — $O(4a)$	106.1 (2)	O(4b)— $Mo(2)$ — $O(5b)$	80.1 (2)
O(2a)Mo(1)O(5a)	83.7 (2)	O(4b)—Mo(2)—N(2b)	82.5 (2)
O(2a)—Mo(1)—N(2a)	159.2 (2)	O(5b)Mo(2)N(2b)	75.8(1)
O(3a)Mo(1)O(4a)	149.1 (2)	N(2a)— $N(1a)$ — $C(2a)$	110.0 (4)
O(3a)Mo(1)O(5a)	80.8 (2)	N(2b) - N(1b) - C(2b)	108.4 (5)
O(3a) - Mo(1) - N(2a)	71.7 (2)	N(1a) - N(2a) - C(3a)	116.6 (5)
O(4a)Mo(1)O(5a)	79.5 (2)	N(1b) - N(2b) - C(3b)	114.7 (4)
O(4a) - Mo(1) - N(2a)	81.4 (2)	C(2a)— $C(1)$ — $C(2b)$	108.0 (6)
O(5a)-Mo(1)-N(2a)	78.5 (2)	O(3a)— $C(2a)$ — $N(1a)$	124.3 (5)
O(1b) - Mo(2) - O(2b)	104.3 (2)	O(3a) - C(2a) - C(1)	116.4 (5)
O(1b) - Mo(2) - O(3b)	93.7 (2)	N(1a) - C(2a) - C(1)	119.4 (5)
O(1b) - Mo(2) - O(4b)	106.2 (2)	O(3b) - C(2b) - N(1b)	124.7 (6)
O(1b)Mo(2)O(5b)	85.2 (2)	O(3b) - C(2b) - C(1)	116.0 (6)
O(1b)-Mo(2)-N(2b)	157.6 (2)	N(1b) - C(2b) - C(1)	119.3 (6)
O(2b)Mo(2)O(3b)	95.4 (2)		

Table 3. Selected interatomic distances (Å) in (I) and (II)

	(I)	(II)
$Mo(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot Mo(2)$	7.370 (2)	6.370 (3)
$S(1a) \cdot \cdot \cdot S(1b)$	5.097 (3)	9.636 (3)
$C(3a) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(3b)$	7.37(1)	8.294 (5)
$O(3a) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(3b)$	4.306(7)	3.699 (1)

H atoms were located in difference Fourier syntheses and were included in the structure-factor calculations but their positions were not refined. Data collection was performed using CAD-4 diffractometer software (Enraf–Nonius, 1977). The structure was solved by direct methods using *MULTAN*11/82 (Main *et al.*, 1982) and refined by a full-matrix least squares using *SDP* (Frenz, 1978).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, bond distances and angles involving H atoms and torsion angles have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BR1062). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Bustos, C., Bruekhardt, O., Schrebler, R., Carrillo, D., Arif, A., Cowley, A. H. & Nunn, C. M. (1990). *Inorg. Chem.* **29**, 3996–4001, and references therein.
- Enraf-Nonius (1977). CAD-4 Operations Manual. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Frenz, B. A. (1978). The Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 SDP a Real-Time System for Concurrent X-ray Data Collection and Crystal Structure Solution. Computing in Crystallography, edited by H. Schenk, R. Olthof-Hazekamp, H. van Koningsveld & G. C. Bassi, pp. 61–71. Delft Univ. Press.
- Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1982). MULTAN11/82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.

Wang, X., Zhang, X.-M. & Liu, H.-X. (1993). Polyhedron. Submitted. Zhai, Y.-L., Xu, X.-X. & Wang, X. (1992). Polyhedron, 11, 415–418.

©1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 1880-1882

A Distorted Planar Palladium Complex with Maleonitriledithiolato and Triphenylphosphine Ligands, [Pd(PPh₃)₂(mnt)]

Masahiro Ebihara, Masaki Tsuchiya and Takashi Kawamura

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Gifu University, Yanagido, Gifu 501-11, Japan

(Received 31 May 1994; accepted 18 July 1994)

Abstract

cis-[2,3-Disulfido-2-butenedinitrile(2–)-S,S']bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II), [Pd(C₄N₂S₂)(C₁₈H₁₅P)₂], is a distorted planar palladium complex. The *trans* P—Pd—S angles in the complex are 170.3 (1) and 165.4 (1)°. The distortion may be caused by intermolecular contacts between a cyano N atom and phenyl C atoms in the crystal.

Comment

Maleonitriledithiolate (mnt^{2-}) forms square-planar $[M(mnt)_2]^{2-}$ complexes with Group 10 atoms. These complexes oxidize to the corresponding monoanions in which the presence of unpaired electrons has been investigated and determined using ESR, XPS, IR spectroscopy and MO calculations (McCleverty, 1968; Eisenberg, 1970; Burns & McAuliffe, 1979). The bis(maleonitriledithiolato)palladium complexes which have been reported are mainly in the monoanion form, some examples being K[Pd(mnt)₂].H₂O, (NH₄)[Pd(mnt)₂].H₂O (Hursthouse, Short, Clemenson & Underhil, 1989) and (perylene)₂[Pd(mnt)₂] (Domingos et al., 1988). A complex containing a dianion, $MV[Pd(mnt)_2]$ (MV = N,N'-dimethyl-4,4'bipyridinium), has been reported recently (Lemke, Knoch & Kisch, 1993). However, only a few complexes of Group 10 elements which contain one maleonitriledithiolato ligand and two monodentate ligands have been reported. The structure of [Pt(PPhMe₂)₂(mnt)] is an example (Fitzmaurice, Slawin, Williams, Woollins & Lindsay, 1990).

The title complex, (I), was obtained as a by-product during our studies of mixed-metal complexes of the general formula $[M(mnt)_2{Ag(PR_3)_2}_2]$ (M = Ni, Pd, Pt; R = Ph, ⁿBu). The related complex $[Pt(PPhMe_2)_2(mnt)]$ is square planar with *trans* P—Pt—S angles of nearly 180°. However, $[Pd(PPh_3)_2(mnt)]$ is considerably distorted from the ideal planar structure with *trans* P— Pd—S angles of 170.3(1) and 165.4(1)°. Since the